

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,875	SCHUHBAUER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Micah-Paul Young	1618	

All Participants:

(1) Micah-Paul Young.

(2) James Crawford.

Status of Application: rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005

Time: 1:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
27

Prior art documents discussed:
of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested including the sustained release rate in to the base claim in order to overcome the rejection of record. Applicant agreed to discuss the amendment with the Inventor. Upon entering of this amendment, the claims appear to be in condition for allowance..